

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant:	Grace Shung Shin Lin, et al.	§	Docket No.:	24061.126 (2003-0828)
		§		
Serial No.:	10/774,521	§	Examiner:	Freda Ann Nelson
		§		
Filed:	February 9, 2004	§	Art Unit:	3628
		§		
For:	Method for Negotiations Using	§	Conf. No.:	3200
	A Global Pricing System	§		

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
Mail Stop: Amendment  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The present paper is being submitted in response to the Restriction Requirement Office Action dated May 2, 2007, in the above-identified application.

**Election of Claims** begins on page 2 of this paper.

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflecting in the Listing of Claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 9 of this paper.

**I. Election**

In the Office Action mailed May 2, 2007, the Examiner alleges that the application contains claims directed to two inventions and, thus, required restriction of either:

Group I: Claims 1-24; and

Group II: Claims 25-45.

Applicants hereby elect Group I, corresponding to claims 1-24. Applicants' election is made with traverse on the grounds that the embodiments delineated by the Examiner are not patentably distinct and therefore constitute a single inventive concept.